

### Interview Summary

Application No.

10/522,176

Applicant(s)

HORI ET AL.

Examiner

HUNG Q. PHAM

Art Unit

2159

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) HUNG Q. PHAM.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) TOMOKI TANIDA.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 10 September 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 3-9.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative, TOMOKI TANIDA, was informed that the claimed invention is allowable, however, the claimed languages need to be revised. A proposed amendment was emailed to the applicant's representative. The applicant's representative authorized the examiner to process the Examiner Amendment according to the Proposal.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/HUNG Q. PHAM/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2159